

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspro.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,641	01/23/2002	Karl-Heinz Heider	0652.1910001	3959
26111 75	590 02/14/2005		EXAMI	NER
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			HELMS, LARRY RONALD	
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
	10/052,641 26111 75 STERNE, KE 1100 NEW YO	10/052,641 01/23/2002 26111 7590 02/14/2005 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.	10/052,641 01/23/2002 Karl-Heinz Heider 26111 7590 02/14/2005 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.	10/052,641 01/23/2002 Karl-Heinz Heider 0652.1910001 26111 7590 02/14/2005 EXAMI STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC HELMS, LARE 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. ART UNIT WASHINGTON, DC 20005 ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/052,641	HEIDER ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Larry R. Helms	1642
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on	·
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.		
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 7		CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.		
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR
5. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review
7. The reason(s) below:		
LARRY R. HE PRIMARY E	ELMS, PH.D EXAMINER	
		,
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to